RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

AUG 0.8 2007

To: United States Patent and Trademark Office

Facsimile No.: (571) 273-8300

From: Davidson Berquist Jackson & Gowdey, LLP

No. of Pages (including this page): 4

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE CLEARLY ALL PAGES, PLEASE CONTACT US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AT (703) 894-6400.

<u>USPTO</u>:

PLEASE <u>ACKNOWLEDGE</u> CLEAR RECEIPT OF ALL PAGES INDICATED ABOVE BY FAXING THIS PAGE BACK TO (703) 894-6430

In re Patent Application of:	Attorney Docket No.: 2544-0404 Group Art Unit: 2165 Confirmation No.: 7801		
QUBTI, Marwan et al.			
Application Serial No. 10/676,000			
Filing date: October 2, 2003	Examiner: ABEL JALIL, Neveen Date: August 8, 2007		
Title: BUSINESS WORKFLOW DATABASE AND USER SYSTEM			

Name(s) of paper(s) being transmitted:

- Transmittal
- Response to Advisory Action and Interview Summary

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Pater and Trademark Office at the above facsimile number on the date shown below. Name: Chris J. Thomas Signature Date: August 8,	•	Сеі	RTIFICATE OF	FACSIM	IILE '	TRANSMIS	SION	: -	
Name: Chris J. Thomas Signature Date: August 8,	I hereby	certify that this corre and Trademark Office	espondence is t ce at the above	eing fac	simi	le transmit imber on th	ted to the United te date shown be	d States Pat	ent
2007	Name:	Chris J. Thomas	Signature		M		Date:	August 8	8,

CUSTOMER NUMBER

42624

DAVIDSON BERQUIST JACKSON & GOWDEY, LLP 4300 WILSON BLVD., 7TH FLOOR, ARLINGTON, VA 22203 703.894.6400 (main) • 703.894.6430 (Fax) DAVIDSON BERQUIST

Fax:7038946430

Aug 8 2007 16:39

P. 02

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
AUG 0 8 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:	Confirmation No.: 7801 Atty. Docket No.: 2544-0404		
QUBTI, Marwan et al.			
Appln. No.: 10/676,000	Art Unit: 2165		
Filed: October 2, 2003	Examiner: ABEL JALIL, Neveen		
Title: BUSINESS WORKFLOW DATABASE AND USER SYSTEM	Date: August 8, 2007		

TRANSMITTAL

Hon. Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Six:

Attached please find the following documents, submitted for filing in connection with the above-identified application:

Response to Advisory Action and Interview Summary

Our Deposit Account No.: 501860

Our Order No. (Client-Matter No.): 2544-0404

CHARGE STATEMENT: The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any missing or insufficient fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (missing or insufficiencies only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting Official document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Account/Order Nos. (or Attorney Docket No.) shown in the heading hereof for which purpose a duplicate copy of this paper is attached.

This Charge Statement <u>does not authorize</u> charge of the <u>issue fee</u> until/unless an issue fee transmittal form is filed.

CUSTOMER NUMBER 42624

Respectfully submitted.

By:

Peter W. Gowdey
Registration No.: 25,872

Davidson Berquist Jackson & Gowdey LLP

Phone: (703) 894-6400

DAVIDSON BERQUIST

Fax:7038946430

Aug 8 2007 16:39

P. 03

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** AUG 0 8 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT Application of:

QUBTI, Marwan et al.

Appl. S.N.: 10/676,000

Filing Date: October 2, 2003

BUSINESS WORKFLOW DATABASE

AND USER SYSTEM

Confirmation No.:

7801

Attorney Docket:

2544-0404

Group Art Unit:

2165

Examiner: ABEL JALIL, Neveen

Date:

August 8, 2007

RESPONSE TO ADVISORY ACTION AND INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Hon. Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

After receiving an Advisory Action in the above-identified Application, dated July 17, 2007, the undersigned called and discussed this Application with Examiner Abel-Jalil.

It was pointed out that new issues were not raised by the "new" claims as these new independent claims were simply the result of writing existing and allowed dependent claims in independent form, in order to put them in allowable condition.

While it was believed this was explained in the "Remarks" in the Amendment dated June 28, 2007, it will be repeated.

Dependent claims 2-4 and 15-20 were indicated as being allowable. New claims 21-24 were added to write them in independent form. New claim 21 is a combination of claims 1 and 2. New claim 22 is a combination of claims 1 and 4. New claim 23 is a